



Contac Hours

The Dermatology Provider's Role in the Antibiotic Resistance Crisis

An Update on Alternative Treatments for Acne Vulgaris

Farinoosh Dadrass and Eden Lake

ABSTRACT: Dermatology healthcare providers commonly treat acne vulgaris with oral and topical antibiotics. In the face of the current antibiotic resistance crisis, alternative therapies should be considered prior to the use of antibiotics and antibiotics should be used sparingly. Alternative therapies include retinoid therapies, retinoid combination therapies, hormonal therapies, and light-based therapies, as well as various combinations of these therapies. Patients would benefit from the initial exclusion of an underlying endocrine pathology prior to initiating vitamin A-derived therapies, light-based therapies, or more subtle hormonal therapies.

Key words: Acne Vulgaris, Antibiotic Resistance, Hormonal Therapy, Light-Based Therapy, Retinoid Combination Therapy, Retinoid Therapy

cne vulgaris is a chronic skin disease, commonly treated with oral and topical antibiotics (Del Rosso et al., 2016). This disorder affects between 40 and 50 million Americans; 85% of adolescents will experience acne at one point in their lives, and many still experience symptoms into adulthood (Canavan et al., 2016). Early intervention is necessary to preserve quality of life (Canavan et al., 2016).

Dermatologists prescribe 4.9% of antibiotic prescriptions yet only comprise 1% of the physician population (Canavan et al., 2016). Therefore, dermatology healthcare providers have a significant opportunity to combat the development of antibiotic-resistant bacteria.

The pathophysiology of acne concerns the pilosebaceous unit and is preceded by one or more of the following: colonization of the skin with the gram-positive *Cutibacterium acnes* (*C. acnes*, previously *Propionibacterium acnes*), increased levels of inflammation, elevated sebum production, and aberrant keratinization leading to both inflammatory and noninflammatory lesions (Canavan et al., 2016). Treatment of these lesions frequently involves antibiotic monotherapy (Canavan et al., 2016). Resistant strains of *C. acnes* were reported at a rate of 20%–25% in the 1970s to 1980s, which increased to a maximum of 75% by the early 2000s and decreased to 30%–40% during the 2010s (Karadag et al., 2020).

Because of the pressing nature of antibiotic resistance, alternative therapies for the treatment of acne must be utilized. There are a wide variety of alternative regimens to antibiotics, and this review will serve as an update that investigates newer agents as well as some of the more successful older agents.

Farinoosh Dadrass, MS, Loyola University Chicago Stritch School of Medicine, Loyola University Medical Center, Maywood, IL. Eden Lake, MD, Division of Dermatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Loyola University Medical Center, Maywood, IL.

Farinoosh Dadrass, MS, and Eden Lake, MD, have both made substantial contributions to the conception and design, acquisition of data, and analysis and interpretation of data; drafted the article and revised it critically for important intellectual content; and approved the final version to be published.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Farinoosh Dadrass, MS, Loyola University Chicago Stritch School of Medicine, 2160 S 1st Ave, Maywood, IL 60153. E-mail: fdadrass@luc.edu Copyright © 2021 by the Dermatology Nurses' Association. DOI: 10.1097/JDN.000000000000000007

TOPICAL RETINOID THERAPIES

One regularly prescribed class of acne therapy is retinoids, including adapalene, tretinoin, and tazarotene, which are natural or synthetic derivatives of vitamin A (Jain et al., 2014). Their efficacy stems from their ability to adjust aberrant follicular keratinization (Jain et al., 2014). Retinoids are considered first-line treatment for mild-to-moderate acne, particularly comedonal acne (Jain et al., 2014). Retinoids can be used on their own or in combination therapies.

Trifarotene cream, a selective retinoic acid receptor (RAR γ) agonist topical retinoid, is a new promising option for the treatment of moderate acne (Tan et al., 2019). Two phase III double-blind, randomized, vehicle-controlled studies (PERFECT 1 and PERFECT 2) of trifarotene 50 μ g/g cream

compared with vehicle were conducted (Tan et al., 2019). In both of these studies, trifarotene cream was proven to be the more successful treatment for moderate acne compared with vehicle cream (Tan et al., 2019). Combined with an encouraging safety profile, trifarotene cream should be considered as a favorable alternative to antibiotic treatment for acne (Tan et al., 2019).

Tretinoin lotion is another effective treatment for moderate-to-severe acne (Eichenfield et al., 2019). Two phase III multicenter, randomized, double-blind, vehicle-controlled studies found tretinoin 0.05% lotion more effective compared with vehicle (Eichenfield et al., 2019). Results showed that, by week 12, inflammatory and noninflammatory lesion counts decreased by 49.5% and 44.0%, respectively, whereas vehicle group decreased by 31.4% and 18.8%, respectively (Eichenfield et al., 2019).

TOPICAL RETINOID COMBINATION THERAPIES

One study has shown that benzoyl peroxide (BPO) is capable of treating and eradicating antibiotic-resistant strains of *C. acnes* (Leyden et al., 2011). A study using a fixed-dose, once-daily adapalene 0.1% and BPO 2.5% combination gel on antibiotic-sensitive and antibiotic-resistant strains of *C. acnes* showed that the combination gel was significantly more effective than the respective monotherapies (Leyden et al., 2011). After applying adapalene–BPO combination gel daily, there was an 80% reduction in total *C. acnes* after two weeks and a 93% reduction after four weeks (Leyden et al., 2011). As such, retinoid combination therapy may be a successful way to directly combat the antibiotic resistance crisis.

Retinoids, both systemic and topical, can also be combined with an anti-inflammatory agent, such as topical dapsone (Faghihi et al., 2014). In one randomized, double-blind study, treatment therapy for moderate-to-severe acne consisted of an oral retinoid, isotretinoin, 20 mg daily and 5% dapsone gel twice daily for eight weeks compared with oral isotretinoin alone (Faghihi et al., 2014). From baseline, there was a remarkable decrease in the number of total inflammatory and noninflammatory acne lesions for the group treated with dapsone (Faghihi et al., 2014). Greater efficacy was seen in women compared with men (Faghihi et al., 2014).

The combination of isotretinoin and antihistamines has been studied. Pandey and Agrawal carried out a randomized controlled trial investigating isotretinoin combined with levocetirizine in comparison with isotretinoin alone (Pandey & Agrawal, 2019). After 12 weeks of treatment of moderate-to-severe acne, there was a statistically significant decrease in the number of total inflammatory and non-inflammatory acne lesions, as well as the severity of acne in the combined isotretinoin and levocetirizine group compared with isotretinoin alone (Pandey & Agrawal, 2019).

HORMONAL THERAPIES

Hormonal therapies for acne treatment have different targets and implications in men and women, but antiandrogen therapy and opposing insulin resistance are key factors for both. Jaisamrarn et al. conducted a study comparing safety and efficacy between two different combined oral contraceptives for treatment of mild-to-moderate acne in women (Jaisamrarn et al., 2014). The two oral contraceptives included a triphasic combination of ethinyl estradiol and norgestimate (EE/NGM) as well as the biphasic combination of ethinyl estradiol and desogestrel (EE/DSG; Jaisamrarn et al., 2014). Ethinyl estradiol decreases serum androgen levels via negative feedback on the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, causing a reduction in ovarian testosterone production and an increase in sex-hormone-binding globulin (Jaisamrarn et al., 2014). Progestins studied in recent research, such as NGM and DSG, contribute to a reduction in androgen receptor binding (Jaisamrarn et al., 2014). Results showed a 74.4% decrease in total acne lesions with EE/NGM and a 65.1% decrease with EE/DSG (Jaisamrarn et al., 2014).

Antiandrogens, such as the off-label use of spironolactone, also treat hormonal female acne. A hybrid systematic review concluded that 200 mg of spironolactone daily decreases the number of inflamed lesion counts (Layton et al., 2017). A recent interventional longitudinal study evaluated acne in 40 female patients with a diagnosis of polycystic ovary syndrome taking metformin, an antihyperglycemic, three times daily for eight weeks (Sharma et al., 2019). The study showed a decrease in mean acne severity using a four-point Definition Severity Index assessment scale from 80 ± 49.29 to 9.703 ± 8.5 at the end of week eight (Sharma et al., 2019).

The same quantity of research is lacking in these therapies' effect on male acne. Another novel hormonal treatment pathway is cortexolone 17α -propionate 1% cream, a potent topical antiandrogen (Trifu et al., 2011). This steroid acts by competing at the androgen receptor level without inhibiting 5α -reductase in the skin (Trifu et al., 2011). Its efficacy was shown against a topical tretinoin 0.05% cream, and cortexolone 17α -propionate 1% cream was found to be more effective than tretinoin or placebo in treating mild-to-moderate acne in men (Trifu et al., 2011). In addition to antiandrogens, metabolic impairments may play a role in male acne pathogenesis. Sebum production begins during puberty and corresponds to peaks in growth hormone and insulin-like growth factor 1 levels, and acne typically starts at the same time as the increase in plasma insulin (Del Prete et al., 2012). Although the relationship between a metabolic profile and acne has been shown in men, the correlation between insulin resistance and acne in men resistant to standard therapies has not been well researched (Del Prete et al., 2012).

LIGHT-BASED THERAPIES

A third alternative is light-based therapy. This noninvasive method can be used as monotherapy or combination therapy, and its use is considered when topical or systemic treatments are contraindicated, or in resistant cases (Patidar et al., 2016). Various light sources include laser, halogen lamp,

radiofrequency, and intense pulsed light (IPL; Bakus et al., 2018; Mei et al., 2013). However, light-based therapies may not prove to be the most cost-effective solution as insurance coverage will vary.

Patidar et al. showed the effect IPL can have on acne in Indian skin by comparing normal and subnormal fluences. IPL targets *C. acnes* via selective thermal damage, causing porphyrin exposure to visible light, the achievement of an excited state, and formation of a singlet oxygen that destroys the organisms (Patidar et al., 2016). Normal fluence (35 J/cm²) was used on the right side of the face; and subnormal fluence (20 J/cm²), on the left side of the face (Patidar et al., 2016). Results showed approximately 60% reduction in acne lesions on both sides, suggesting even subnormal fluence is effective for acne treatment, while minimizing side effects (Patidar et al., 2016).

Photodynamic therapy (PDT), which uses photosensitizing agents activated by light, can also be combined with topical 5-aminolevulinic acid (ALA) and 420–950 nm of IPL (Mei et al., 2013). This addition of a photosensitizing agent leads to better results via fewer treatments and maintains longer remission (Mei et al., 2013). Compared with an IPL control group, the ALA-IPL-PDT group showed a reduction in noninflammatory and inflammatory acne lesion counts, with a mean of 83.6% and 57.5%, respectively (Mei et al., 2013). Thus, the photosensitizing agents are effective and may be particularly helpful for inflammatory acne (Mei et al., 2013).

More recently, Bakus et al. explored the use of combination long-pulsed followed by Q-switched Nd:YAG laser therapy for acne lesions (Bakus et al., 2018). Nd:YAG achieves therapeutic outcomes via the emission of infrared wavelengths that target the dermis and pilosebaceous unit (Bakus et al., 2018). After an average of 12 treatments, there was a mean reduction of 81% in acne lesions and an 80% improvement in overall skin appearance (Bakus et al., 2018). Twelve months after final treatment, a mean reduction of 86% in acne lesions and a mean improvement of 84% in overall skin appearance was observed (Bakus et al., 2018).

CONCLUSION

There are strong alternatives available to antimicrobial therapy that, because of the impending threat of antibiotic resistance, dermatology providers should begin to consider as first-line treatments. Hormonal therapies work to correct a hormonal imbalance within individuals who do not respond to standard treatments for acne. It is imperative to diagnose these imbalances quickly, as skin disorders have a devastating psychosocial impact and scar treatment is difficult and costly. In addition, acne may be a manifestation of an underlying chronic illness yet to be diagnosed or addressed.

Among the therapies discussed in this review, each was able to show its potential role as an alternative to antibiotics for acne treatment. When aiming to avoid antibiotics for acne therapy, retinoid, retinoid combination, hormonal, and light-based therapies are all excellent additions to a patient's regimen. Patients would benefit from first excluding endocrine pathology for severe acne and then utilizing these therapies to treat their acne while preventing future relapses. By prescribing these alternative agents, dermatology healthcare providers can effectively treat their patients' acne without further contributing to the development of harmful antibiotic-resistant bacteria.

REFERENCES

- Bakus, A. D., Yaghmai, D., Massa, M. C., Garden, B. C., & Garden, J. M. (2018). Sustained benefit after treatment of acne vulgaris using only a novel combination of long-pulsed and Q-switched 1064-nm Nd: YAG lasers. *Dermatologic Surgery*, 44(11), 1402–1410. 10.1097/DSS. 000000000001565
- Canavan, T. N., Chen, E., & Elewski, B. E. (2016). Optimizing non-antibiotic treatments for patients with acne: A review. *Dermatology and Therapy*, 6(4), 555–578. 10.1007/s13555-016-0138-1
- Del Prete, M., Mauriello, M. C., Faggiano, A., Di Somma, C., Monfrecola, G., Fabbrocini, G., & Colao, A. (2012). Insulin resistance and acne: A new risk factor for men? *Endocrine*, 42(3), 555–560. 10.1007/s12020-012-9647-6
- Del Rosso, J. Q., Webster, G. F., Rosen, T., Thibouto, D., Leyden, J., Gallo, R., Walker, C., Zhanel, G., & Eichenfield, L. (2016). Status report from the scientific panel on antibiotic use in dermatology of the American Acne and Rosacea Society: Part 1: Antibiotic prescribing patterns, sources of antibiotic exposure, antibiotic consumption and emergence of antibiotic resistance, impact of alterations in antibiotic prescribing, and clinical sequelae of antibiotic use. The Journal of Clinical and Aesthetic Dermatology, 9(4), 18–24.
- Eichenfield, L. F., Sugarman, J. L., Guenin, E., Harris, S., & Bhatt, V. (2019). Novel tretinoin 0.05% lotion for the once-daily treatment of moderate-to-severe acne vulgaris in a preadolescent population. *Pediatric Dermatology*, 36(2), 193–199. 10.1111/pde.13744
- Faghihi, G., Rakhshanpour, M., Abtahi-Naeini, B., & Nilforoushzadeh, M. A. (2014). The efficacy of 5% dapsone gel plus oral isotretinoin versus oral isotretinoin alone in acne vulgaris: A randomized double-blind study. Advanced Biomedical Research, 3, 177. 10.4103/2277-9175.139413
- Jain, A. K., Jain, A., Garg, N. K., Agarwal, A., Jain, A., Jain, S. A., Tyagi, R. K., Jain, R. K., Agrawal, H., & Agrawal, G. P. (2014). Adapalene loaded solid lipid nanoparticles gel: An effective approach for acne treatment. Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces, 121, 222–229. 10.1016/j.colsurfb. 2014.05.041
- Jaisamrarn, U., Chaovisitsaree, S., Angsuwathana, S., & Nerapusee, O. (2014). A comparison of multiphasic oral contraceptives containing norgestimate or desogestrel in acne treatment: A randomized trial. Contraception, 90(5), 535–541. 10.1016/j.contraception.2014.06.002
- Karadag, A. S., Kayıran, M., Wu, C. Y., Chen, W., & Parish, L. C. (2020). Antibiotic resistance in acne: Changes, consequences and concerns. *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology*. 10.1111/jdv.16686. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1111/jdv.16686
- Layton, A. M., Eady, E. A., Whitehouse, H., Del Rosso, J. Q., Fedorowicz, Z., & van Zuuren, E. J. (2017). Oral spironolactone for acne vulgaris in adult females: A hybrid systematic review. *American Journal of Clinical Derma*tology, 18(2), 169–191. 10.1007/s40257-016-0245-x
- Leyden, J. J., Preston, N., Osborn, C., & Gottschalk, R. W. (2011). In-vivo effectiveness of adapalene 0.1%/benzoyl peroxide 2.5% gel on antibiotic-sensitive and resistant propionibacterium acnes. The Journal of Clinical and Aesthetic Dermatology, 4(5), 22–26.
- Mei, X., Shi, W., & Piao, Y. (2013). Effectiveness of photodynamic therapy with topical 5-aminolevulinic acid and intense pulsed light in Chinese acne vulgaris patients. *Photodermatology, Photoimmunology & Photomedicine*, 29(2), 90–96. 10.1111/phpp.12031
- Pandey, D., & Agrawal, S. (2019). Efficacy of isotretinoin and antihistamine versus isotretinoin alone in the treatment of moderate to severe acne: A randomised control trial. *Kathmandu University Medical Journal*, 17(65), 14–19.
- Patidar, M. V., Deshmukh, A. R., & Khedkar, M. Y. (2016). Efficacy of intense pulsed light therapy in the treatment of facial acne vulgaris: Comparison of two different fluences. *Indian Journal of Dermatology*, 61(5), 545–549. 10.4103/0019-5154.190115

Sharma, S., Mathur, D. K., Paliwal, V., & Bhargava, P. (2019). Efficacy of metformin in the treatment of acne in women with polycystic ovarian syndrome: A newer approach to acne therapy. The Journal of Clinical and Aesthetic Dermatology, 12(5), 34–38.

Tan, J., Thiboutot, D., Popp, G., Gooderham, M., Lynde, C., Del Rosso, J., Weiss, J., Blume-Peytavi, U., Weglovska, J., Johnson, S., Parish, L., Witkowska, D., Sanchez Colon, N., Alió Saenz, A., Ahmad, F., Graeber, M., & Stein Gold, L. (2019). Randomized phase 3 evaluation of trifarotene 50 µg/g cream treatment of moderate facial and truncal acne. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, 80(6), 1691–1699. 10.1016/j. jaad.2019.02.044

Trifu, V., Tiplica, G. S., Naumescu, E., Zalupca, L., Moro, L., & Celasco, G. (2011). Cortexolone 17α-propionate 1% cream, a new potent antiandrogen for topical treatment of acne vulgaris. A pilot randomized, double-blind comparative study vs. placebo and tretinoin 0·05% cream. *The British Journal of Dermatology*, 165(1), 177–183. 10.1111/j.1365-2133.2011.10332.x

For more than 131 additional continuing education articles related to advanced pharmacology hours, go to NursingCenter.com/ce.

NursingCenter*

TEST INSTRUCTIONS

• Read the article. The test for this nursing continuing professional development (NCPD) activity is to be taken online at www.

NursingCenter.com/CE/JDNA. Tests can no longer be mailed or faxed.

- You'll need to create an account (it's free!) and log in to access
 My Planner before taking online tests. Your planner will keep track of all your Lippincott Professional Development online NCPD activities for you.
- There's only one correct answer for each question. A passing score for this test is 7 correct answers. If you pass, you can print your certificate of earned contact hours and access the answer key. If you fail, you have the option of taking the test again at no additional cost.
- For questions, contact Lippincott Professional Development: 1-800-787-8985.
- Registration deadline is March 3, 2023.



PROVIDER ACCREDITATION

Lippincott Professional Development will award 2.0 contact hours including 2.0 advanced pharmacology credit for this nursing continuing professional development activity.

Lippincott Professional Development is accredited as a provider of nursing continuing professional development by the American Nurses Credentialing Center's Commission on Accreditation.

This activity is also provider approved by the California Board of Registered Nursing, Provider Number CEP 11749 for 2.0 contact hours. Lippincott Professional Development is also an approved provider of continuing nursing education by the District of Columbia, Georgia, and Florida, CE Broker #50-1223. Your certificate is valid in all states.

Payment: The registration fee for this test is \$10 for members; \$20 for nonmembers.

VOLUME 13 | NUMBER 2 | MARCH/APRIL 2021