# CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT 2.0 ANCC CONTACT HOURS

# Testing the Use of Natural Language Processing Software and Content Analysis to Analyze Nursing Hand-off Text Data

Benjamin J. Galatzan, PhD, RN, Jane M. Carrington, PhD, RN, FAAN, Sheila Gephart, PhD, RN, FAAN

Natural language processing software programs are used primarily to mine both structured and unstructured data from the electronic health record and other healthcare databases. The mined data are used, for example, to identify vulnerable at-risk populations and predicting hospital associated infections and complications. Natural language processing programs are seldomly used in healthcare research to analyze the how providers are communicating essential patient information from one provider to another or how the language that is used impacts patient outcomes. In addition to analyzing how the message is being communicated, few studies have analyzed what is communicated during the exchange in terms of data, information, and knowledge. The analysis of the "how" and "what" of healthcare provider communication both written and verbal has the potential to decrease errors and improve patient outcomes. Here, we will discuss the feasibility of using an innovative within-methods triangulation data analysis to uncover the contextual and linguistic meaning of the nurse-to-nurse change-of-shift hand-off communication. The innovative within-methods triangulation data analysis uses a natural language processing software program and content analysis to analyze the nursing hand-off communication.

**KEY WORDS:** Hand-off, Natural language processing, Nursing informatics, Within-methods triangulation

atural language processing (NLP) programs use machine learning to convert text or transcribe conversations into interpretable usable data to answer a variety of research questions.<sup>1</sup> Natural language processing has been primarily used in relation to

Author Affiliations: College of Nursing, University of Arizona (Dr Galatzan), Tucson, and School of Nursing University of Alabama Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama; College of Nursing University of Florida (Dr Carrington), Gainesville, Florida; and College of Nursing University of Arizona (Dr Geohart). Tucson. Arizona.

The authors have disclosed that they have no significant relationships with, or financial interest in, any commercial companies pertaining to this article.

Dr Gephart received training support from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (K08HS022908). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.

Corresponding Author: Benjamin J. Galatzan, PhD, RN, School of Nursing, University of Alabama Birmingham, 1701 University Boulevard Birmingham, AL 35294 (galatzan@uab.edu).

Copyright © 2021 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

DOI: 10.1097/CIN.000000000000732

the electronic health record (EHR) and other healthcare databases to convert unstructured data to structured data<sup>2</sup> Additionally, NLP programs have been used to extract patient information from nursing narrative notes.3 Natural language processing has been used to identify vulnerable at-risk adults through the use of algorithms to scan the EHR for key words and sentences in clinical notes.<sup>4</sup> An additional application of NLP includes predicting the risk of hospital-associated infections through analysis of clinical notes.<sup>5</sup> Natural language processing has rarely been used in healthcare to analyze how healthcare providers are communicating essential patient information from one provider to another or how the language that is used influences patient outcomes. The analysis of healthcare provider communication, both written and verbal, has the potential to decrease errors and improve patient outcomes.

An innovative within-methods triangulation data analysis was first used to analyze nurses and physicians communicating patient information.<sup>6</sup> This method of analysis uses traditional content analysis and an NLP program to provide a deeper contextual comprehension and understanding of the same transcribed text data.<sup>6</sup>

#### **OBJECTIVE**

Building on the Renz et al<sup>6</sup> within-methods triangulation data analysis method, the focus of this feasibility study is to explore the use of an innovative analysis method to uncover the contextual and linguistic meaning of the nurse-to-nurse change-of-shift hand-off communication. Previous qualitative studies examining hand-off communication have traditionally analyzed the data using content and thematic analysis.7 These two analysis methods are effective in identifying key themes, codes, and categories in communication text data but provide limited insight into how the message is communicated. The within-methods triangulation data analysis of using an NLP program and content analysis has the capability to provide new perspective and knowledge about how the content of the nurse-to-nurse hand-off is communicated. The purpose of this article is to present the outcomes from a feasibility study that sought to test within-methods triangulation data analysis on nurse-tonurse hand-off text data.

#### **BACKGROUND AND SIGNIFICANCE**

Nearly 100 000 patient deaths occur each year in the United States due to healthcare provider miscommunication.<sup>8</sup> Communication failures in healthcare place the patient at high risk for disruption in the continuity of care, resulting in direct and indirect harm.<sup>9,10</sup> Miscommunication between healthcare providers during the transfer of care accounts for 80% of all sentinel events in the healthcare setting.<sup>10,11</sup> Errors in communication were identified as the root cause of 86% of delays in treatment and 75% of hospital-associated infections and complications.<sup>12</sup>

One known source of miscommunication is the transfer of patient care from one provider to another, referred to in several ways as "hand-off," "handover," "nurse-to-nurse hand-off communication," and "change of shift report." Nurse-to-nurse hand-off communication is the process of transferring patient care and responsibility from one nurse to another.<sup>13–15</sup> The threat to effective communication during hand-off is a consequence of the nature of the hand-off as a cognitively intense process occurring in a sociotechnical system requiring the registered nurse (RN) to simultaneously analyze and synthesize a vast amount of data, information, and knowledge.14,16 Effective nurse-to-nurse hand-off communication is essential in the prevention of adverse patient outcomes. The majority of the studies focused on the structure, form, and consistency of the hand-off communication to the exclusion of its content that addresses the "what" and "how" of the communication.<sup>7</sup> The methods we are currently using to research hand-off communication are not effective in initiating the change needed to impact patient outcomes. What is needed is to approach the same problem from a different perspective using innovative data collection and data analysis methods.

Due to the nature of hand-off communication process and the known risks of miscommunication to patient safety, we sought to test a novel method for analyzing hand-off data. Within-methods data analysis triangulation was used to determine the effectiveness in analyzing hand-off text data. Here, we used Linguistic Inquiry Word Count (LIWC, Austin, TX), an NLP program and content analysis, to learn the "how" and "what" of the nurse-to-nurse hand-off communication for patients who have experienced a clinical event. A clinical event (CE) is an unexpected change in the patient condition in following areas: fever, pain, bleeding, changes in output, changes in respiratory status, and changes in level of consciousness.<sup>17,18</sup>

### WITHIN-METHODS DATA ANALYSIS TRIANGULATION Linguistic Inquiry Word Count

Nursing has not applied NLP programs as a data analysis tool in research as frequently compared to other healthcare disciplines.<sup>6</sup> The study conducted by Renz et al<sup>6</sup> was the first

to utilize both NLP and content analysis as a within-methods triangulation data analysis method in a qualitative nursing research study. Renz et al<sup>6</sup> used LIWC, an NLP program, to nurse interview text data. The LIWC program was developed to analyze text data from multiple sources such as emails, tweets, natural speech, novels, newsprint, and blogs.<sup>19</sup> This program provides insight into how individuals are communicating. The language used in text and verbal conversation reflects what the individual is thinking about, what is important, his or her feelings, and how he or she is synthesizing and analyzing the events occurring in the environment.<sup>20</sup>

Text data are analyzed by LIWC using predefined word dictionaries to code the text data into the following categories: analytical thinking, clout, authenticity, emotional tone, psychological processes (ie, affective, cognitive, biological, social, and drives), time orientated, personal concern, and informal language such as fillers, netspeak, and assents.<sup>19</sup> The LIWC Operator's Manual<sup>21</sup> and the Development Manual<sup>19</sup> provide guidelines on how to interpret the raw LIWC scores. When using NLP to analyze the data, the researcher must be cognizant that the computer is unable to determine subtleties, context, or the meaning of a term or phrase.<sup>22</sup> The onus of interpreting and applying the LIWC results to the study's research questions, aims, and theoretical framework remains with the researcher.

#### **Content Analysis**

Content analysis involves systematic coding and categorizing of text data using both inductive and deductive techniques to identify trends and patterns.<sup>22–25</sup> In addition to identifying trends and patterns in the data, content analysis provides basic quantitative results in the form of frequencies or number of occurrences of the codes and categories occurring in the text.<sup>22–25</sup> Content analysis provides the researcher with the ability to identify and describe the content characteristics of the text.<sup>22–25</sup> Advantages of using content analysis are that it enables the researcher to become immersed in the data to gain a deeper understanding and that it is unobtrusive to the participants. The potential limitations of content analysis are that it is a time-intensive process and that researcher bias may exist.<sup>22,24,25</sup>

There are several different coding strategies and schemes associated with content analysis in qualitative research.<sup>25,26</sup> The specific strategy or scheme used depends on the type of data and the research question or questions.<sup>25</sup> The content analysis strategy used for this feasibility study was hypothesis coding. Hypothesis coding is the application of a researcher's a priori generated list of codes to text data.<sup>23</sup> The codes are developed based on a prediction of what will be discovered in the data prior to the data being collected or analyzed.<sup>23</sup> This type of coding is appropriate for content analysis when exploring the data for explanations.<sup>23</sup>

#### **Triangulation**

The triangulation technique in research is the use of multiple strategies for data collection, data analysis, or both in a single study.<sup>23,27</sup> The goal is to select strategies that will provide complementary insight into the phenomenon being studied. There are four types of triangulation: (1) data source (time, place, space); (2) method (within methods or between methods); (3) researcher (ie, additional investigators); and (4) theory (eg, the use of two or more theories to examine a hypothesis).<sup>23</sup> Miles et al<sup>23</sup> added a fifth type of triangulation termed *data type* using a combination of both qualitative and quantitative data (ie, mixed methods). The use of triangulation in analysis has the potential to provide the researcher with a deeper and broader understanding of the findings.

## METHODS: APPLICATION OF USING NATURAL LANGUAGE PROCESSING AND WITHIN-METHODS DATA ANALYSIS

#### **Research Questions**

This feasibility study examined the practicality of the data collection and the within-methods triangulation data analysis to explore the content of the nurse-to-nurse hand-off communication. The research questions of this feasibility study were as follows:

- 1. Are the data collection methods of digital audio recording and observing the hand-off suitable to generate the data required to explore the nurse-to-nurse hand-off communication?
- 2. Is the within-methods data triangulation, using content analysis hypothesis coding and LIWC, a suitable method for analyzing the data generated from the nurse-to-nurse change-of-shift hand-off communication?

#### Methods

#### Institutional Review Board

Approval to conduct the feasibility study was obtained through the University of Arizona's institutional review board and through the healthcare organization's (data collection site) institutional review board committee. Additionally, permission to conduct the study on the medical-surgical unit was obtained from the healthcare organization's chief nursing officer, institutional research department, and unit nursing manager.

#### Setting and Participants

The setting of the feasibility study was the Mountain West region. A convenience sample of RNs was obtained from a medical-surgical unit. The RNs in the feasibility study met the following inclusion criteria for the study: (1) working full-time in a medical-surgical unit; (2) have at least 3 months of experience in the medical-surgical unit and are not

currently on orientation; (3) have provided care or continued care for a patient who has experienced a CE; and (4) are able to speak and read in English.

#### Data Collection

The primary investigator (PI) arrived at the selected unit 45 minutes before the end of the day shift. The PI talked with the charge RN to identify patients who had experienced or were experiencing a CE and the RNs providing care to those patients. The PI approached the RN providing care, explained the study, and obtained informed consent from the RN in a private location. CE is defined as an unexpected and sudden change in the patient's condition in the following areas: bleeding, pain, fever, change in output, change in respiratory status, and change in level of consciousness. CEs are precursors to sentinel events.<sup>17</sup> The same procedure was repeated for the incoming RN assigned to provide care to the same patient. When the RNs were prepared to begin the hand-off, the PI started the digital audio recorder and stepped away. When the hand-off communication was complete, the RNs signaled to the PI, and the PI stopped the recorder. Additional data on hand-off communication were collected through observation. The observation data were collected concurrently with the digital audio recording. Two nurse-to-nurse hand-offs were observed for the feasibility study.

#### Data Analysis

The digitally audio-recorded hand-off communications were transcribed verbatim and verified for accuracy by the PI. Once the accuracy of the transcriptions was verified, the digital recordings were deleted from the digital audio recorder and the PI's computer. During the transcription of the hand-off communications, the confidentiality and anonymity of the nurses and any patient's protected health information were ensured by removing such information through deidentification strategies. Deidentification strategies were completed as follows: the patient's name and room number were transcribed as "patient," nurse's name as "nurse," provider's name as "provider," and so forth.

#### **Content Analysis**

Content analysis using hypothesis coding was used in this study to analyze "what," or the content of the hand-off communication in terms of data, information, and knowledge. The PI used the LIWC to analyze "how" the nurse-to-nurse hand-off was communicated. Thematic analysis was used to analyze the observation notes to identify emerging themes related to environmental factors and nonverbal communication potentially affecting the nurse-to-nurse hand-off communication.

The content analysis strategy used was hypothesis coding, which is an exploratory method. Hypothesis coding uses

Table 1	. Data,	information,	and	knowledge
---------	---------	--------------	-----	-----------

	Definition	Exemplar	
Data <sup>28</sup>	Raw facts unconnected and individually meaning nothing	A blood pressure of 160/90	
Information <sup>29,30</sup>	One piece of data connected to another piece of data, creating a relation and meaning	A blood pressure of 160/90 and an antihypertensive medication was given	
Knowledge <sup>29,30</sup>	Synthesizing and connecting information to other information, creating information that has contextual and conceptual understanding	A blood pressure of 160/90, am antihypertensive medication was given at 2 PM. The patient experienced no adverse effects. Blood pressure now 145/72. Next dose can be given at 5 PM.	

predefined codes, developed theoretically, about the content of the text data.<sup>23</sup> The PI theorized data, information, and knowledge were being communicated in the hand-off communication. The PI conducted a literature review to determine the most relevant and current definitions of the concepts of data, information, and knowledge. The definitions for data, information, and knowledge were developed prior to data collection.

Data points are raw facts, which are unconnected and mean nothing individually.<sup>28–30</sup> Information is at least two data elements connected together, creating meaning and a relationship that organizes the data into information.<sup>28,29</sup> Knowledge is the cognitive processing and connecting of meaningful data/information relationships to other meaningful data/information relationships to other meaningful data/information relationships, thus creating information that has context and conceptual understanding, knowledge.<sup>28,29</sup> Table 1 provides the definitions and exemplars of data, information, and knowledge.

#### Linguistic Inquiry Word Count Analysis

An NLP program, LIWC provides insight into the psychosocial processes, cognitive processes, and the emotional context of the message being communicated.<sup>20</sup> The transcribed nursing hand-offs were prepared for analysis according to the LIWC program recommendations. The preparation included saving each text data file as a Word document using a systematic naming procedure.<sup>21</sup> The transcribed text files were "cleaned" prior to data analysis. Cleaning of the data files included reviewing for misspellings, abbreviations, and jargon to ensure that those words or phrases would be included in the analysis. In addition to checking for misspellings, abbreviations, and jargon, filler words, for example, "like" were changed to "rrlike" for the LIWC program to correctly analyze the word in the correct context.

#### Within-Methods Data Triangulation

Within-methods data triangulation was utilized to analyze the change-of-shift hand-off communication data. The within-methods triangulation integrated the content analysis results with the LIWC to provide a deeper contextual analysis of the same transcribed text data.<sup>6</sup> The content analysis hypothesis coding provided the "what" of the hand-off content in terms of data, information, and knowledge. The LIWC analysis provided insight into how the content (words and language used) of the nurse-tonurse hand-off message was communicated.<sup>6,20</sup> The results of the LIWC and content analysis were combined and provided holistic three-dimensional analysis and representation of the participants' words and meaning. The within-methods triangulation data analysis process is an iterative process of continually analyzing and comparing the results from the content analysis to the LIWC analysis and synthesizing the results.

#### Results

#### Content Analysis Hypothesis Coding

The content analysis of the hand-off communication provided results related to the "what" of the hand-off content in terms of the data information and knowledge. The results of the content analysis hypothesis coding of the hand-offs provided the frequency and percentage of data, information, and knowledge in the nurse-to-nurse change-of-shift hand-off communication. The hand-off was comprised of 54% information, 35.5% data, and 10.5% knowledge. Table 2 contains the mean frequencies for the hypothesis content analysis. Examples of data elements in the hand-off included the following: a blood pressure value, individual diagnostic test results, a pain score, and a discontinued medication. Exemplars of information in the hand-off included the following: "Had an additional diagnostic test due to abnormal lab values"; "Specialist consult ordered due to symptoms"; and "Vital signs are normal." The communication of knowledge was present in the hand-off in the following exemplars: "On potassium protocol, but the potassium level was within normal limits, so I held it" and "Had repeated scans and compared it to back to previous scans, and said it was evolving."

#### Table 2. Content analysis hypothesis coding results

	Mean	Exemplars
Data	35.5%	Blood pressure value; a pain score
Information	54%	"Specialist consult ordered due to symptoms"
Knowledge	10.5%	"On potassium protocol, but the potassium level was within normal limits, so I held it"

#### Linguistic Inquiry Word Count

The transcribed nurse-to-nurse change-of-shift hand-off communication was analyzed using the LIWC. The LIWC analysis produced a significant amount of data on how the content (data, information, and knowledge) of the nurse-to-nurse hand-off message was communicated in terms of the meaning of the language used to communicate the message. The feasibility study focused on the ability of the LIWC to provide insight into the following data analysis variables: analytical thinking, clout, authenticity, emotional tone, time orientation, and drives. Table 3 presents a comparison of the identified LIWC variables' mean scores of hand-off communication to the LIWC program mean scores. The analytical score for the nursing hand-off was 21.49, indicating that the nurses were using a more personal and informal narrative storytelling thinking process to communicate the message.<sup>21</sup>

The nursing hand-off had a clout score of 64.13, indicating that the nurses were speaking as experts but were also anxious in the communication.<sup>19</sup> The analysis of the hand-off for authenticity score was 14.54, indicating that the nurses were communicating patient information and not personal information. The LIWC variable of emotional tone for the hand-off scored at 23.57, indicating that the nurses were expressing more negative emotions (anxiousness, sadness, or fear) in the communication.<sup>21</sup> The LIWC analyzes the communication for past, present, and future tense verbs. The nurses were proficient in using language to communicate past and present assessments, interventions, and evaluations but used minimal language to communicate future or anticipated patient events (Table 3). The next LIWC variable analyzed for the hand-off communication was the drive or motivation to communicate the message or information. The LIWC analyzed three areas of drive power, reward, and risk. The drive of risk was the focus because in each hand-off, the patient had experienced a CE. The drive of risk for the nursing hand-off communication

#### Table 3. LIWC Analysis Results

LIWC Variables	Mean	LIWC <sup>a</sup>
Total word count <sup>b</sup>	1210.5	11 921.82
Analytic	21.49	56.34
Clout	64.13	57.95
Authentic	14.54	49.17
Tone	23.57	54.22
Past focus	7.56	4.64
Present	10.56	9.96
focus		
Future focus	0.61	1.42
Risk	0.15	0.47

<sup>a</sup>LIWC program mean score.

<sup>b</sup>Total word count is the average words per document analyzed.

score was 0.15, indicating minimal drive to communicate based on risk, danger, or fear.

#### Within-Methods Triangulation Data Analysis

The results of the content analysis hypothesis coding and the LIWC results were compared, contrasted, and then combined to provide a description of the nurse-to-nurse hand-off communication. The description of the hand-off message included what was being communicated in terms of data, information, and knowledge and how the hand-off was communicated, that is, the meaning of the words and the language used by the participants. The description was based solely on the words and language used by the nurses.

The hand-off consisted of primarily data and information with minimal knowledge being communicated. The hand-off message was communicated using an informal and narrative storytelling style of what the patient had experienced throughout the shift. The nurses communicated the hand-off with confidence and as experts. The hand-off was focused on communicating patient information rather than the nurses discussing personal information. The overall emotional tone of the hand-off message was more negative and anxious. The hand-off message was focused on communicating past and present event but not future or anticipated patient events. The drive to communicate the hand-off was minimally based on a risk, danger, fear, or concern despite the patients having experienced a CE.

#### Discussion

This feasibility study sought to address two aims: (1) examine the suitability of the data collection methods of digital audio recording and observing the hand-off to generate the data required to explore the nurse-to-nurse hand-off communication; and (2) explore the usefulness of within-methods data triangulation using hypothesis content analysis and the LIWC method for analyzing the data generated from the nurse-to-nurse hand-off communication. The data collection methods of observation and digital audio recording of the change-of-shift hand-off communications generated ample suitable data for the analysis.

Within-methods triangulation data analysis is an iterative process of comparing and contrasting the content analysis results with the LIWC results and referring back to the participants' words. Within-methods data triangulation provided a three-dimensional perspective of how the nurses communicated essential patient information such as clinical events. The content analysis hypothesis coding enabled the PI to discern what was being communicated in terms of data, information, and knowledge in the hand-off. The LIWC provided the PI with insight into the cognitive processes, psychosocial processes, and emotional processes the nurses used to communicate the hand-off. This method of analysis provided insight

# **CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT**

into not only the content communicated during the hand-off (data, information, and knowledge) but also the intentions, motivations, prioritization, emotional context, and thought processes of the nurses.

The secondary analysis of nurse-to-nurse communication in the acute care setting conducted by Renz et al,<sup>6</sup> using the same within-methods data triangulation analysis, produced similar results. Renz et al<sup>6</sup> were able to achieve a clear comprehension of the nurse's voice and perspective through what the nurse revealed and how the nurse said it. Additionally, Renz<sup>31</sup> used the same analysis methods to assess nurse-to-physician communication in the nursing home setting. The results from that study provided an in-depth understanding of what was communicated and how the information was communicated between nurses and physicians in the nursing home setting.<sup>31</sup>

#### **Limitations and Strengths**

A potential limitation to analyzing the data is interpreting the raw output from the LIWC program. The first time reading the LIWC output is challenging due to how the raw data are visually presented. The two LIWC program manuals provided clear and concise examples and instructions on how to interpret the LIWC output.

A strength of this method was that no additional training was required to use the LIWC NLP or the within-methods data analysis. Other strengths of this feasibility study were the methods used to collect the hand-off communication data and the analysis of that data. An additional strength of the within-method triangulation data analysis was that this method requires the nurse researcher to fully immerse himself or herself into the data. The feasibility study provided the required evidence to proceed with future studies.

#### Recommendations

The data collection methods provided a wealth of quality data for analysis. The within-methods data triangulation analysis using an LIWC NLP and content analysis provided a three-dimensional depiction of the hand-off communication. We recommend for future studies to use the within-methods data triangulation and LIWC program to research the following types of healthcare communication: nurse to another healthcare provider (nurse practitioner, physician assistant, and physician), interfacility hand-offs, intradepartmental hand-offs, and physician-to-physician hand-off communication. Additionally, we recommend using the LIWC program to analyze nursing narrative notes to provide insight into the cognitive processes and the drive to communicate patient information.

#### **CONCLUSION**

The use of an NLP program in healthcare research is not limited to data mining the EHR or converting unstructured

data to structured data. An NLP program such as LIWC is a viable and valuable research tool to analyze how nurses are communicating essential patient information from one nurse to another. The within-methods data triangulation analysis of using content analysis and the NLP advances the researcher's capability to gain greater breadth and depth of the context and meaning in text data to address the research questions.

The data analysis triangulation method has now been tested in two separate nursing focused studies: a secondary analysis of transcribed nurse interviews<sup>6</sup> and transcribed nursing hand-off communications. This triangulation method demonstrates the ability to analyze communication from a different but complementary perspective compared to the traditional forms of content analysis. The within-methods data triangulation can be implemented in various nursing research studies utilizing diverse forms of text data. The information obtained from this study has informed the research protocol and methods for a larger study examining nurse-to-nurse change-of-shift hand-off communication.

#### References

- 1. Coiera E. Guide to Health Informatics. Third ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group; 2015.
- Topaz M, Murga L, Bar-Bachar O, McDonald M, Bowles K. NimbleMiner: an open-source nursing-sensitive natural language processing system based on word embedding. *Computers, Informatics, Nursing: CIN.* 2019;37(11): 583–590. doi:10.1097/CIN.000000000000557[published.
- Hyun S, Johnson SB, Bakken S. Exploring the ability of natural language processing to extract data from nursing narratives. *Computers, Informatics, Nursing: CIN.* 2009;27(4): 215–223; quiz 24–25 doi: 10.1097/ NCN.0b013e3181a91b58.
- Chen T, Dredze M, Weiner JP, Kharrazi H. Identifying vulnerable older adult populations by contextualizing geriatric syndrome information in clinical notes of electronic health records. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA*. 2019;26(8–9): 787–795. doi:10.1093/ jamia/ocz093[published.
- Goodwin TR, Demner-Fushman D. A customizable deep learning model for nosocomial risk prediction from critical care notes with indirect supervision. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA*. 2020;27(4): 567–576. doi:10.1093/jamia/ocaa004.
- Renz SM, Carrington JM, Badger TA. Two strategies for qualitative content analysis: an intramethod approach to triangulation. *Qualitative Health Research*. 2018;28(5): 824–831. doi:10.1177/1049732317753586.
- Galatzan BJ, Carrington JM. Exploring the state of the science of the nursing hand-off communication. *Computers, Informatics, Nursing: CIN.* 2018; 36(10): 484–493. doi:10.1097/CIN.00000000000461.
- 8. Institute of Medicine. *To Err Is Human: Building a Safer Health System*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 2000.
- Joint Commission. Joint Commission Center for Transforming Healthcare releases targeted solutions tool for hand-off communications. *Joint Commission Perspectives*. 2012;32(8): 1–3.
- Patel B, Johnston M, Cookson N, King D, Arora S, Darzi A. Interprofessional communication of clinicians using a mobile phone app: a randomized crossover trial using simulated patients. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. 2016;18(4): –e79. doi:10.2196/jmir.4854.
- 11. Joint Commission. Transition of care: The need for a more effective approach to continuing patient care. Secondary transition of care: The need for a more effective approach to continuing patient care. *The Joint Commission*. https://www.jointcommission.org/-/media/deprecated-unorganized/imported-

assets/tjc/system-folders/topics-library/hot\_topics\_transitions\_of\_ carepdf.pdf?db=web&hash=CEFB254D5EC36E4FFE30ABB20A5550E0. Accessed July 20, 2017.

- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Healthcare-associated infections program. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. 2018. https://www.ahrq.gov/hai/quality/tools/cauti-ltc/modules/ implementation/long-term-modules/module4/mod4-slides.html. Accessed February 23, 2018.
- Manias E, Geddes F, Watson B, Jones D, Della P. Perspectives of clinical handover processes: a multi-site survey across different health professionals. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*. 2016;25(1–2): 80–91. doi:10.1111/jocn.12986.
- Staggers N, Clark L, Blaz J, Kapsandoy S. Nurses' information management and use of electronic tools during acute care handoffs. Western Journal of Nursing Research. 2012;34(2): 153–173. doi:10.1177/ 0193945911407089.
- Staggers N, Clark L, Blaz JW, Kapsandoy S. Why patient summaries in electronic health records do not provide the cognitive support necessary for nurses' handoffs on medical and surgical units: insights from interviews and observations. *Health Informatics Journal*. 2011;17(3): 209–223. doi:10.1177/1460458211405809.
- Chapman Y, Schweickert P, Swango-Wilson A, Aboul-Enein F, Heyman A. Nurse satisfaction with information technology enhanced bedside handoff. *Medsurg Nursing*. 2016;25(5): 6.
- Carrington JM. Development of a conceptual framework to guide a program of research exploring nurse-to-nurse communication. *Computers*, *Informatics, Nursing: CIN.* 2012;30(6): 293–299. doi:10.1097/ NXN.0b013e31824af809.
- Chetta A, Carrington JM, Forbes AG. Augmenting EHR interfaces for enhanced nurse communication and decision making. Proceedings of the 2015 Workshop on Visual Analytics in Healthcare–VAHC '15. 2015;1–6.

- Pennebaker JW, Boyd RI, Jordan K, Blackburn K. The Development and Psychometric Properties of LIWC2015. Austin, TX: University of Texas at Austin; 2015.
- Tausczik YR, Pennebaker JW. The psychological meaning of words: LIWC and computerized text analysis methods. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*. 2010;29(1): 24–54. doi:10.1177/0261927x09351676.
- Pennebaker JW, Booth RJ, Boyd RI, Francis ME. Linguistic Inquiry and Word Count: LIWC2015. Austin, TX: Pennebaker Conglomerates; 2015.
- 22. Trochim WMK, Donnelly JP, Arora K. Research Methods: The Essential Knowledge Base. Student ed. Boston, MA: Cengage Learning; 2016.
- Miles MB, Huberman AM, Saldaña J. Qualitative Data Analysis: A Methods Sourcebook. 4th ed. London: Sage Publications; 2020.
- Gray JR, Grove SK, Sutherland S. Burns and Grove's: The Practice of Nursing Research. 8th ed. St Louis, MO: Elsevier; 2017.
- Saldaña J. The Coding Manual for Qualitative Researchers. 3rd ed. Los Angeles; London: SAGE; 2016.
- Creswell JW, Poth CN. Qualitative Inquiry & Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches. 4th ed. Los Angeles, CA: SAGE; 2018.
- Streubert H, Carpenter D. Qualitative Research in Nursing: Advancing the Humanistic Imperative. 5th ed. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer Health/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2011.
- Badia A. Data, information, knowledge: an information science analysis. Journal of the Association for Information Science & Technology. 2014;65(6): 1279–1287.
- Kaipa P. Knowledge architecture for the twenty-first century. Behaviour & Information Technology. 2000;19(3): 153–161.
- American Nurses Association. Nursing Informatics: Scope and Standards of Practice. 2nd ed. Silver Springs, MD: American Nurses Association; 2015.
- Renz SM. Exploring Nurse to Physician Communication in Nursing Homes [electronic dissertation]. The University of Arizona: ProQuest; 2017.

# For more than 67 additional continuing professional development articles related to Electronic Information in Nursing topics, go to NursingCenter.com/ce.

#### NursingCenter

#### INSTRUCTIONS

#### Testing the use of Natural Language Processing Software and Content Analysis to Analyze Nursing Hand-off Text Data

#### TEST INSTRUCTIONS

• Read the article. The test for this nursing continuing professional development (NCPD) activity is to be taken online at www. nursingcenter.com/CE. Tests can no longer be mailed or faxed.

 You'll need to create an account (it's free!) and log in to access My Planner before taking online tests. Your planner will keep track of all your Lippincott Professional Development online NCPD activities for you.

 There's only one correct answer for each question. A passing score for this test is 7 correct answers. If you pass, you can print your certificate of earned contact hours and access the answer key. If you fail, you have the option of taking the test again at no additional cost.

- For questions, contact Lippincott Professional Development:
- 1-800-787-8985.

• Registration deadline is June 7, 2024

#### PROVIDER ACCREDITATION

Lippincott Professional Development will award 2.0 contact hours for this nursing continuing professional development activity.

NURSING Continuing Professional Development

Lippincott Professional Development is accredited as a provider of nursing continuing professional development by the American Nurses Credentialing Center's Commission on Accreditation.

This activity is also provider approved by the California Board of Registered Nursing, Provider Number CEP 11749 for 2.0 contact hours. Lippincott Professional Development is also an approved provider of continuing nursing education by the District of Columbia, Georgia, and Florida, CE Broker #50-1223. Your certificate is valid in all states.

Payment: The registration fee for this test is \$21.95.